arXiv:1505.03952v1 [math.OA] 15 May 2015

A non-commutative Beurling's theorem with respect to unitarily invariant norms

Yanni Chen, Don Hadwin, and Junhao Shen

Abstract. In 1967, Arveson invented a non-commutative generalization of classical H^∞, known as finite maximal subdiagonal subalgebras, for a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with a faithful normal tracial state τ. In 2008, Blecher and Labuschagne proved a version of Beurling's theorem on H^∞-right invariant subspaces in a non-commutative $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ space for $1 \le p \le \infty$. In the present paper, we define and study a class of norms $\mathcal{N}_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ on $\mathcal{M}$, called normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norms, which properly contains the class $\{\|\cdot\|_p : 1 \le p < \infty\}$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, we define a non-commutative $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ space and a non-commutative H^α space. Then we obtain a version of the Blecher-Labuschagne-Beurling invariant subspace theorem on H^∞-right invariant subspaces in a non-commutative $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ space. Key ingredients in the proof of our main result include a characterization theorem of H^α and a density theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle and μ be the Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. Then $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra. For each $1 \le p < \infty$, we let $L^p(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ be the completion of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ with respect to L^p-norm. And we define the Hardy space H^p as follows:

$$H^p = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{T},\mu) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{in\theta} d\mu(\theta) = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le p \le \infty.$$

It is not hard to check that, for each $1 \le p \le \infty$, there exists a representation of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ into $B(L^p(\mathbb{T},\mu))$ given by the mapping $\psi \mapsto M_\psi$, where M_ψ is the multiplication operator defined by $M_\psi(f) = \psi f$ for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T},\mu)$. Therefore we might assume that $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$, and thus H^∞, act naturally on each $L^p(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ space by left (or right) multiplication for $1 \le p \le \infty$. The classical, and influential, Beurling's theorem in [**2**] states that *if $\mathcal{W}$ is a nonzero closed, H^∞-invariant subspace (or, equivalently, $z\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$) of H^2, then $\mathcal{W} = \psi H^2$ for some $\psi \in H^\infty$ with $|\psi| = 1$ a.e. (μ)*. Later, the Beurling's theorem for H^2 was generalized to describe closed H^∞-invariant

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L52, 30H10; Secondary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. Normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm, maximal subdiagonal algebra, dual space, Beurling's theorem, non-commutative Hardy space.

This work supported in part by a grant from the Simons Foundation.

subspaces in the Hardy space H^p with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ as follows: *if* $\mathcal{W}$ *is a nonzero closed* H^∞*-invariant subspace of* H^p *with* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, *then* $\mathcal{W} = \psi H^p$ *for some* $\psi \in H^\infty$ *with* $|\psi| = 1$ *a.e.* (μ) (see [**3**], [**13**], [**14**], [**15**], [**16**], [**30**] and etc). The Beurling's theorem was also extended in many other directions.

The theory of non-commutative L^p-spaces, or so called "non-commutative integration theory", was initiated by Segal ([**28**]) and Dixmier ([**6**]) in 1950's. Since then, the theory of non-commutative L^p-spaces has been extensively studied and developed (see [**26**] for related references). It has now become an extremely active research area. In the paper, we are mainly interested in non-commutative L^p-spaces associated with finite von Neumann algebras. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. For each $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define a mapping $\|\cdot\|_p : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty)$ by $\|x\|_p = (\tau((x^*x)^{p/2}))^{1/p}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. It is a highly nontrivial fact that $\|\cdot\|_p$ actually defines a norm, an L^p-norm, on $\mathcal{M}$. Thus we let $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the completion of $\mathcal{M}$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Moreover, it is not hard to see that there exists an anti-representation ρ of $\mathcal{M}$ on the space $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ given by $\rho(a)\xi = \xi a$ for $\xi \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus we might assume that $\mathcal{M}$ acts naturally on each $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space by right multiplication for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We will refer to a wonderful handbook [**26**] by Pisier and Xu for general knowledge and current development of the theory of non commutative L^p-spaces.

In 1967, W. Arveson [**1**] introduced a concept of maximal subdiagonal algebras, also known as non-commutative H^∞ spaces, to study the analyticity in operator algebras. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a weak* closed unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$, and Φ be a faithful, normal conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto a von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to Φ if (i) $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$ is weak* dense in $\mathcal{M}$; (ii) $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$; (iii) $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau$; and (iv) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$. (In [**10**], Excel showed that if $\mathcal{A}$ is weak* closed and τ satisfies (iii), then $\mathcal{A}$ (with respect to Φ) is maximal among those subdiagonal subalgebras (with respect to Φ) satisfying (i), (ii) and (iv).) Such a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is also called an H^∞ space of $\mathcal{M}$. For each $1 \leq p < \infty$, we let H^p be the completion of Arveson's non-commutative H^∞ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$.

After Arveson's introduction of non-commutative H^p spaces, there are many studies to obtain a Beurling's theorem for invariant subspaces in non-commutative H^p spaces (for example, see [**20**], [**24**], [**25**] and [**27**]). It was Blecher and Labuschagne who were able to show the following satisfactory version of Beurling's theorem for H^∞-invariant subspaces in a non-commutative $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space in [**4**]. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state* τ, *and* H^∞ *be a maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of* $\mathcal{M}$ *with* $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{K}$ *is a closed* H^∞*-right-invariant subspace of* $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, *for some* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. *(For* $p = \infty$ *it is assumed that* $\mathcal{K}$ *is weak* closed.) Then* $\mathcal{K}$ *may be written as a column* L^p*-sum* $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{col} (\bigoplus_i^{col} u_i H^p)$, *where* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is a closed (indeed weak* closed if* $p = \infty$*) subspace of* $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ *such that* $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_p$, *and where* u_i *are partial isometries in* $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}$ *satisfying certain conditions (For more details, see* [**4**] *or Lemma 5.6).* Here $\bigoplus_i^{col} u_i H^p$ and $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_p$ are of type 1, and type 2 respectively (also see [**25**] for definitions of invariant subspaces of different types).

The concept of unitarily invariant norms was introduced by von Neumann [**23**] for the purpose of metrizing matrix spaces. These norms have now been generalized and applied in many contexts (for example, see [**17**], [**21**], [**29**] and etc). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Besides all L^p-norms for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there are many other interesting examples of unitary invariant norms on $\mathcal{M}$ (for example, see [**7**], [**8**], [**12**] and others). In the paper, we introduce a class $N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating and continuous norms (see Definition 2.2), which properly contains all L^p-norms for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and many unitarily invariant norms considered in [**7**], [**8**] and [**12**]. If $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and H^∞ is a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$, then we let $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and H^α be the completion of $\mathcal{M}$, and H^∞ respectively, with respect to the norm α. We also observe that $\mathcal{M}$, and thus H^∞, act naturally on $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by left, or right, multiplication (see Lemma 2.7). From Blecher and Labuschagne's result for non-commutative H^p and $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces, it is natural to expect a Beurling's theorem for H^α and $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces.

In the paper, we consider a version of Beurling's theorem for H^∞-right invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and therefore for H^∞-right invariant subspaces in H^α, when $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. More specifically, we are able to obtain the following Beurling's theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, built on Blecher and Labuschagne's result in the case of $p = \infty$.

THEOREM 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ. Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.*

If $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{col} (\bigoplus^{col}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} u_i H^\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_\alpha$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ with $u_j^* u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i, $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W}$ onto the summands $u_i H^\alpha$, and left multiplication by $1 - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}$.

Here $\bigoplus^{col}$ denotes an internal column sum (see Definition 5.5). Moreover, $\bigoplus_i^{col} u_i H^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z} H_0^\infty]_\alpha$ are of type 1, and of type 2 respectively (see [**25**], [**4**] for definitions of invariant subspaces of different types).

Many tools used in a non-commutative $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space are no longer available in an arbitrary $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space and new techniques or new proofs need to be invented. Key ingredients in the proof of Theorem 5.7 include a characterization of H^α (see Theorem 4.9), a factorization result in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see Proposition 5.2), and a density theorem for $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see Theorem 5.3), which extend earlier results by Saito in [**27**].

THEOREM 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. Then*

$$H^\alpha = H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^\infty\}.$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. If $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then there are unitary operators $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and operators $a_1, a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = w_1 a_1 = a_2 w_2$ and $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1} \in H^\alpha$.*

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.*

If $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a weak-closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{N}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, then*

1. $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$;
2. $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ *is weak* closed in* $\mathcal{M}$;
3. $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha$;
4. *if $\mathcal{S}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{S}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then*
$$[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha = [\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_\alpha,$$
where $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ is the weak-closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{M}$.*

We end the paper with two quick applications of Theorem 5.7, which contain classical Beurling's theorem as a special case by letting $\mathcal{M}$ be $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a projection e in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{W} = eL^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*

Corollary 5.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ. Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^* = \mathbb{C}I$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.*

Assume that $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. If $\mathcal{W}$ is simply H^∞-right invariant, i.e. $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subsetneqq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{W} = uH^\alpha$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce a class $N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ of normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating and continuous norms and study their dual norms on a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with a faithful normal tracial state τ. In section 3, we prove a Hölder's inequality and use it to find the dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ when $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. In Section 4, we define the non-commutative H^α spaces and provide a characterization of H^α. In section 5, we prove the main result of the paper, a version of Beurling's theorem for H^∞-right invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ spaces.

2. Unitarily invariant norms and dual norms on finite von Neumann algebras

2.1. Unitarily invariant norms.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. For general knowledge about non-commutative L^p-spaces for $0 < p \le \infty$

associated with a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, we will refer to a wonderful handbook [**26**] by Pisier and Xu. For each $0 < p < \infty$, we let $\|\cdot\|_p$ be a mapping from $\mathcal{M}$ to $[0,\infty)$ (see [**26**]) as defined by

$$\|x\|_p = (\tau(|x|^p))^{1/p}, \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

It is known that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm if $1 \le p < \infty$, and a quasi-norm if $0 < p < 1$. We define $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, so called non-commutative L^p-space associated with $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, to be the completion of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $0 < p < \infty$.

In the paper, we will mainly focus on the following two classes of unitarily invariant norms of a finite von Neumann algebra.

Definition 2.1. *We denote by $N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ the collection of all these norms $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying:*

(a) $\alpha(I) = 1$, *i.e. α is normalized.*
(b) $\alpha(uxv) = \alpha(x)$ *for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and unitaries u, v in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. α is unitarily invariant.*
(c) $\|x\|_1 \le \alpha(x)$ *for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, i.e. α is $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating.*

A norm α in $N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is called a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating norm *on $\mathcal{M}$.*

Definition 2.2. *We denote by $N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ the collection of all these norms $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to [0,\infty)$ such that*

(a) $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ *and*
(b) $\lim\limits_{\tau(e)\to 0} \alpha(e) = 0$ *as e ranges over the projections in $\mathcal{M}$ (α is a continuous norm with respect to a trace τ).*

A norm α in $N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is called a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm *on $\mathcal{M}$.*

Example 2.3. *Each p-norm, $\|\cdot\|_p$, is in the class $N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ for $1 \le p < \infty$.*

Example 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ satisfying the weak Dixmier property (See* [**12**]*). Let α be a normalized tracial gauge norm on $\mathcal{M}$. Then Theorem 3.30 in* [**12**] *shows that $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*

Example 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ and $E(0,1)$ be a rearrangement invariant symmetric Banach function space on $(0,1)$. A non-commutative Banach function space $E(\tau)$ together with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\tau)}$, corresponding to $E(0,1)$ and associated with $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, can be introduced (see* [**7**] *or* [**8**] *). Moreover $\mathcal{M}$ is a subset in $E(\tau)$ and the restriction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\tau)}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ lies in $N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. If E is also order continuous, then the restriction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\tau)}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ lies in $N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*

Example 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a type II_1 factor with a tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{1,\mathcal{N}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathcal{N}}$ be L^1-norm, and L^2-norm respectively, on $\mathcal{N}$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, defined by*

$$\tau(x \oplus y) = \frac{\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x) + \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(y)}{2}, \qquad \forall\ x \oplus y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let α *be a norm of* $\mathcal{M}$*, defined by*

$$\alpha(x \oplus y) = \frac{\|x\|_{1,\mathcal{N}} + \|y\|_{2,\mathcal{N}}}{2}, \qquad \forall\, x \oplus y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$*. But* α *is neither tracial (see Definition 3.7 in* [**12**]*) nor rearrangement invariant (see Definition 2.1 in* [**9**]*).*

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state* τ *and* α *be a norm on* $\mathcal{M}$*. If* α *is unitarily invariant, i.e.*

$$\alpha(uxv) = \alpha(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and unitaries } u, v \text{ in } \mathcal{M},$$

then

$$\alpha(x_1 y x_2) \le \|x_1\| \cdot \|x_2\| \cdot \alpha(y), \qquad \forall\, x_1, x_2, y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

In particular, if α *is a normalized unitarily invariant norm on* $\mathcal{M}$*, then*

$$\alpha(x) \le \|x\|, \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. Assume that $x = v|x|$ is the polar decomposition of x in $\mathcal{M}$, where v is a unitary in $\mathcal{M}$ and $|x|$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is positive. Then $u = |x| + i\sqrt{I - |x|^2}$ is a unitary in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $|x| = (u + u^*)/2$. Thus

$$\alpha(xy) = \alpha(|x|y) = \alpha\Big(\frac{uy + u^*y}{2}\Big) \le \frac{\alpha(uy) + \alpha(u^*y)}{2} = \alpha(y).$$

Hence $\alpha(xy) \le \|x\|\alpha(y), \forall\, x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. Similarly, $\alpha(yx) \le \|x\|\alpha(y), \forall\, x, y \in \mathcal{M}$.

Furthermore, if α is a normalized unitarily invariant norm on $\mathcal{M}$, then from the discussion in the preceding paragraph we have that

$$\alpha(x) \le \|x\|\alpha(I) = \|x\|, \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

∎

2.2. Dual norms of unitarily invariant norms on $\mathcal{M}$.

The concept of dual norm plays an important role in the study of non-commutative L^p-spaces. In this subsection, we will introduce dual norm for a unitarily invariant norm on a finite von Neumann algebra.

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state* τ*. Let* α *be a normalized, unitarily invariant,* $\|\cdot\|_1$*-dominating norm on* $\mathcal{M}$ *(see Definition 2.1). Define a mapping* $\alpha' : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty]$ *as follows:*

$$\alpha'(x) = \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(y) \le 1\}, \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then the following statements are true.

(i) $\forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\|_1 \le \alpha'(x) \le \|x\|$.
(ii) α' *is a norm on* $\mathcal{M}$.
(iii) $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$*, i.e.* α' *is a normalized, unitarily invariant,* $\|\cdot\|_1$*-dominating norm.*
(iv) $|\tau(xy)| \le \alpha(x)\alpha'(y)$ *for all* x, y *in* $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x \in \mathcal{M}$. If $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha(y) \leq 1$, then, from the fact that α is $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, we have

$$|\tau(xy)| \leq \|x\|\|y\|_1 \leq \|x\|\alpha(y) \leq \|x\|,$$

whence $\alpha'(x) \leq \|x\|$. Thus α' is a mapping from $\mathcal{M}$ to $[0,\infty)$.

Now, assume that $x = uh$ is the polar decomposition of x in $\mathcal{M}$, where u is a unitary element in $\mathcal{M}$ and h in $\mathcal{M}$ is positive. Then, from the fact that $\alpha(u^*) = 1$, we have

$$\alpha'(x) \geq |\tau(u^*x)| = \tau(h) = \|x\|_1.$$

Therefore $\|x\|_1 \leq \alpha'(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$. This ends the proof of part (i).

(ii) It is easy to verify that

$$\alpha'(ax) = |a|\alpha'(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'(x_1 + x_2) \leq \alpha'(x_1) + \alpha'(x_2), \qquad \forall a \in \mathbb{C}, \forall\ x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{M}.$$

From the result (i), we know that $\alpha'(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Therefore α' is a norm on $\mathcal{M}$.

(iii) It is not hard to verify that α' satisfies conditions (a) and (b) in the definition of $N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. From the result (i), α' also satisfies condition (c) in the definition of $N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Therefore $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

(iv) It follows directly from the definition of α'. □

Definition 2.9. *The α', as defined in Lemma 2.8, is called the* dual norm *of α on $\mathcal{M}$.*

Now we are ready to introduce L^α-space and $L^{\alpha'}$-space for a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the unitarily invariant norms α, and α' respectively, as follows.

Definition 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). We define $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to α, and α' respectively.*

Remark 2.11. *If α is an L^p-norm for some $1 < p < \infty$, then α' is nothing but an L^q-norm where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Hence $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are the usual $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $L^q(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*

It is known that the dual space of $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is $L^q(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ when $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $1/p+1/q = 1$. However generally, for $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, the dual of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ might not be $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

3. Dual spaces of L^α-spaces associated with finite von Neumann algebras

In this section we will study dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ by investigating some subspaces in $L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

3.1. Definitions of subspaces $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ of $L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9).*

We define

$$\overline{\alpha} : L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau) \to [0,\infty] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\alpha'} : L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau) \to [0,\infty]$$

as follows:

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) = \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\}, \qquad \forall\ x \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau),$$
$$\overline{\alpha'}(x) = \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(y) \le 1\}, \qquad \forall\ x \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

We define

$$L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) = \{x \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \overline{\alpha}(x) < \infty\} \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$$
$$L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) = \{x \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \overline{\alpha'}(x) < \infty\} \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

Thus $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ are mappings from $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ respectively, into $[0,\infty)$. The next result follows directly from the definitions of $\overline{\alpha}$, $\overline{\alpha'}$ and part (iv) of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\overline{\alpha'}(x) = \alpha'(x) \quad \textit{and} \quad \overline{\alpha}(x) \le \alpha(x) \quad \textit{for every}\ \ x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The following proposition describes properties of $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$, which imply that $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are normed spaces with respect to $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ respectively.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). Let*

$$\overline{\alpha} : L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \to [0,\infty) \quad \textit{and} \quad \overline{\alpha'} : L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \to [0,\infty)$$

be as in Definition 3.1.

Then the following statements are true:

(i) $\overline{\alpha}(I) = 1$ *and* $\overline{\alpha'}(I) = 1$.

(ii) *If u, v are unitary elements in $\mathcal{M}$, then*

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) = \overline{\alpha}(uxv), \qquad \forall\ x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$$

and

$$\overline{\alpha'}(x) = \overline{\alpha'}(uxv), \qquad \forall\ x \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

(iii$_1$) *We have*

$$\|x\|_1 \le \overline{\alpha}(x), \qquad \forall\ x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$$

and

$$\|x\|_1 \le \overline{\alpha'}(x), \qquad \forall\ x \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

(iii$_2$) *If x is an element in $\mathcal{M}$, then*

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) \le \|x\| \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \overline{\alpha'}(x) \le \|x\|.$$

(iv) $\overline{\alpha}$ *and* $\overline{\alpha'}$ *are norms on* $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, *and* $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ *respectively.*

Proof. (i) Note that $\alpha \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ from part (iii) of Lemma 2.8 . Thus

$$\overline{\alpha}(I) = \sup\{|\tau(y)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\} = \sup\{||y||_1 : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\} = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{\alpha'}(I) = 1.$$

(ii) If u, v are unitaries in $\mathcal{M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\alpha}(uxv) &= \sup\{|\tau(uxvy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\} && \text{(by Definition 3.1)}\\ &= \sup\{|\tau(xvyu)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\}\\ &= \sup\{|\tau(xy_0)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y_0) = \alpha'(vyu) = \alpha'(y) \le 1\} && \text{(because } \alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau))\\ &= \overline{\alpha}(x),\ \forall x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\overline{\alpha'}(x) = \overline{\alpha'}(uxv), \qquad \forall\ x \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

(iii$_1$) Assume that $x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. We let $x = uh$ be the polar decomposition of x in $L^1(\mathcal{M})$, where u is a unitary in $\mathcal{M}$ and $h = |x| \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$. Then, from the result (ii), we obtain that

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) = \overline{\alpha}(uh) = \overline{\alpha}(h) \ge |\tau(h)| = \|x\|_1,$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|x\|_1 \le \overline{\alpha'}(x), \qquad \forall\ x \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

(iii$_2$) Note that $\alpha' \in N(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{M}$. If $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha'(y) \le 1$, then

$$|\tau(xy)| \le \|x\|\|y\|_1 \le \|x\|\alpha'(y) \le \|x\|.$$

Now it follows from the definition of $\overline{\alpha}$ that $\overline{\alpha}(x) \le \|x\|$. Similarly, we have $\overline{\alpha'}(x) \le \|x\|$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{M}$.

(iv) From the definition and the result (iii$_1$), we conclude that $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ are norms on $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ respectively.

□

The following lemma is a useful tool for our later results.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). Let $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ be as in Definition 3.1. Then the following statements are true.*

(i) *For all $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $\overline{\alpha}(ax) \le \|a\|\overline{\alpha}(x)$.*
(ii) *For all $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $\overline{\alpha'}(ax) \le \|a\|\overline{\alpha'}(x)$.*

PROOF. (i) From Proposition 3.3, $\overline{\alpha}$ is a norm on $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ satisfying

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) = \overline{\alpha}(uxv), \qquad \forall \text{ unitary elements } u, v \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$

Now the proof of Lemma 2.7 can also be applied here.

(ii) Similar result holds for $\overline{\alpha'}$. □

Our next result shows that $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are Banach spaces with respect to $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ respectively.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.1). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). Let $\overline{\alpha}$, $\overline{\alpha'}$, $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be as in Definition 3.1.*

Then $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are both Banach spaces with respect to norms $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ respectively.

PROOF. Since arguments for $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and for $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are similar, we will only present the proof that $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is a Banach space here.

From part (iv) of Proposition 3.3, we know that $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is a normed space with respect to $\overline{\alpha}$. To prove the completeness of the space, we suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with respect to $\overline{\alpha}$. Then there is an $M>0$ such that $\overline{\alpha}(x_n)\le M$ for all n. From part (iii$_1$) of Proposition 3.3, we have that $\|x_m-x_n\|_1\le\overline{\alpha}(x_m-x_n)$ for $m,n\ge 1$. It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, which is a complete Banach space. Then there is an $x_0\in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\|x_n-x_0\|_1\to 0$.

We claim that $x_0\in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\overline{\alpha}(x_n-x_0)\to 0$ as n goes to infinity. In fact, we let $y\in\mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha'(y)\le 1$. Since

$$|\tau(x_ny)-\tau(x_0y)|=|\tau((x_n-x_0)y)|\le\|x_n-x_0\|_1\|y\|\to 0,$$

we have

$$|\tau(x_0y)|=\lim_{n\to\infty}|\tau(x_ny)|.$$

By the definition of $\overline{\alpha}$, we have that

$$|\tau(x_0y)|=\lim_{n\to\infty}|\tau(x_ny)|\le\limsup_{n\to\infty}\overline{\alpha}(x_n)\alpha'(y)\le M,$$

whence $\overline{\alpha}(x_0)\le M$. This implies $x_0\in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Furthermore, since $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, it follows that, for each $n\ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\tau((x_0-x_n)y)|&=\lim_{m\to\infty}|\tau((x_m-x_n)y)|\\&\le\limsup_{m\to\infty}\overline{\alpha}(x_m-x_n)\alpha'(y)\\&\le\limsup_{m\to\infty}\overline{\alpha}(x_m-x_n).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\overline{\alpha}(x_n-x_0)\le\limsup_{m\to\infty}\overline{\alpha}(x_m-x_n)$ for each $n\ge 1$. Again from the fact that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, we conclude that $\overline{\alpha}(x_n-x_0)\to 0$ as n goes to infinity.

Therefore $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\overline{\alpha}$. This ends the proof of the whole proposition. ☐

3.2. Hölder's inequality.

In this subsection, we will prove the Hölder's inequality for $L^{\alpha}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ when α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm.

We will need the following result from [**32**].

Lemma 3.6. *(Corollary III.3.11 in* [**32**]*) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. If ϕ is a bounded linear functional on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, then the following two statements are equivalent:*

1. *ϕ is normal;*
2. *For every orthogonal family $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{M}$,*

$$\phi(\sum_{i\in I} e_i) = \sum_{i\in I} \phi(e_i).$$

When α is a continuous norm, the following result relates the dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ to the space $L^{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.2). Let $L^{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be as in Definition 3.1.*

Then for every bounded linear functional $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$, there is a $\xi \in L^{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\overline{\alpha'}(\xi) = \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$. Let $\{e_n\}$ be a family of orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{M}$. It is easily verified that $\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n \to 0$ in the strong operator topology as N approaches infinity. Since τ is normal, by Lemma 3.6, we have that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \tau(\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n) \to 0$. Note that $\alpha \in N_c(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then the continuity of α with respect to τ implies that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \alpha(\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n) \to 0$. From the fact that $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$, we know

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \phi(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} e_n) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \phi(\sum_{n=N}^\infty e_n) = 0.$$

Now Lemma 3.6 implies that ϕ is a normal functional on $\mathcal{M}$. Hence ϕ is in the predual space of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. there is a $\xi \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| &= \sup\{|\phi(x)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \le 1|\} \\ &= \sup\{|\tau(x\xi)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \le 1\} \\ &= \overline{\alpha'}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\xi \in L^{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. This ends the proof of the result. □

For a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the set of possibly unbounded, closed and densely defined operators on $\mathcal{H}$ which are affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$, forms a topological *-algebra where the topology is the non-commutative topology of convergence in measure [**22**]. We will denote this algebra by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$; it is the closure of $\mathcal{M}$ in the topology just mentioned. We let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ be the set of positive operators in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the trace

$$\tau : \mathcal{M}_+ \to [0, \infty)$$

can be extended to a generalized trace

$$\widetilde{\tau} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+ \to [0, \infty].$$

We refer to [**28**], [**33**] for more details on the non-commutative integration theory.

We will summarize some properties of the generalized trace on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ as follows.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of closed and densely-defined operators affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ be the set of positive operators in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. If $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$, there is a family $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of projections (spectral resolution of a) in $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

1. *$e_\lambda \to I$ increasingly;*
2. *$e_\lambda a = a e_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $0 < \lambda < \infty$;*
3. *$\widetilde{\tau}(a) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \tau(e_\lambda a)$ ($\widetilde{\tau}(a)$ could be infinity);*
4. *If $a \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $\|e_\lambda a - a\|_1 \to 0$.*

Moreover, assume that x is an element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Then $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\tau}(|x|) < \infty$.

Proof. The result is well-known. More details could be found in Section 1.1 in [**11**] or in [**33**]. □

If no confusion arises, we still use τ to denote the generalized trace $\widetilde{\tau}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$.

A consequence of the preceding lemma is the following result.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.2). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). Let $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ be as defined in Definition 3.1. Then*

$$\alpha(x) = \overline{\alpha}(x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha'(x) = \overline{\alpha'}(x) \quad \text{for all } \ x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. It is clear from Lemma 3.2 that $\alpha'(x) = \overline{\alpha'}(x)$ and $\overline{\alpha}(x) \le \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. We will need only to show that $\overline{\alpha}(x) \ge \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Now suppose $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\alpha(x) = 1$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is a continuous linear functional $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$ such that $\phi(x) = \alpha(x) = 1$ and $\|\phi\| = 1$. Since $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^\sharp$, from Proposition 3.7, there is an element $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\phi(x) = |\tau(x\xi)| = 1$ and $\overline{\alpha'}(\xi) = \|\phi\| = 1$.

Let $\xi = uh$ be the polar decomposition of $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, where $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary and $h \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M})$ is positive. Then it follows from Lemma 3.8 that there exists a family $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of projections in $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|h - h e_\lambda\|_1 \to 0 \qquad (*)$$

and $e_\lambda h = he_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $0 < \lambda < \infty$. Thus $uhe_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$. We see that

$$\alpha'(uhe_\lambda) = \overline{\alpha'}(uhe_\lambda) \le \overline{\alpha'}(uh)\|e_\lambda\| \le \overline{\alpha'}(uh) = \overline{\alpha'}(\xi) = 1. \qquad \text{(by Lemma 3.2 \& 3.4)} \ (**)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(x\xi)| = |\tau(xuh)| &= \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} |\tau(xuhe_\lambda)| && \text{(by } (*) \text{ and } xu \in \mathcal{M}) \\ &\le \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\}. && \text{(by } (**)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the definition of $\overline{\alpha}$ we obtain

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) = \sup\{|\tau(xy)| : y \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha'(y) \le 1\} \ge |\tau(x\xi)| = 1 = \alpha(x).$$

This finishes the proof of the result. □

A quick corollary of the preceding result is the following conclusion.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.2). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). Let $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\alpha'}$ be as defined in Definition 3.1.*

There are natural embeddings

$$L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) \hookrightarrow L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \hookrightarrow L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \qquad \text{isometrically,}$$

such that

$$x \mapsto x \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto x, \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Thus $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L^{\alpha'}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are Banach subspaces of $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ respectively.

The following theorem is a generalization of Hölder's inequality in non-commutative L^p-spaces.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.2). Let α' be the dual norm of α on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.9). Let $L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be as defined in Definition 3.1.*

If $x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $y \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\|xy\|_1 \le \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y)$. In particular, if $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $y \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\|xy\|_1 \le \alpha(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in L_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $y \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then $xy \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is the set of closed and densely defined operators affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$. Let $xy = uh$ be the polar decomposition of xy in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, where $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary and $h = |xy| \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$. From Lemma 3.8, there exists an increasing family $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of projections in $\mathcal{M}$, such that $e_\lambda h = he_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $\lambda > 0$ and such that $\tau(h) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \tau(e_\lambda h)$. We will show that $\tau(h) \le \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y)$.

Assume, to the contrary, that

$$\tau(h) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \tau(e_\lambda h) > \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y).$$

Then there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $eh \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$\tau(eh) > \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y) + \epsilon.$$

Note that $eh = eu^*xy$. We let $eu^*x = h_2u_2$ be the polar decomposition of eu^*x in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, where $u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary and $h_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$. Again from Lemma 3.8, we may choose $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ to be an increasing family of projections in $\mathcal{M}$ such that (i) $f_\lambda \to I$ increasingly in the strong operator topology, (ii) $f_\lambda h_2 = h_2 f_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}$, and (iii) $\tau(eu^*xu_2^*) = \tau(h_2) = \sup_\lambda \tau(f_\lambda h_2)$. From (ii), we have $f_\lambda h_2 u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $\lambda > 0$. It follows that, for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tau(f_\lambda eh)| &= |\tau(f_\lambda eu^*xy)| = |\tau(f_\lambda h_2u_2y)| \\
&\leq \alpha(f_\lambda h_2u_2)\overline{\alpha}'(y) && \text{(by definition of } \overline{\alpha'}) \\
&= \overline{\alpha}(f_\lambda h_2u_2)\overline{\alpha}'(y) && \text{(by Corollary 3.9)} \\
&\leq \|f_\lambda\|\overline{\alpha}(h_2u_2)\overline{\alpha'}(y) && \text{(by Lemma 3.4)} \\
&\leq \overline{\alpha}(h_2)\overline{\alpha'}(y) && \text{(by properties of } \overline{\alpha}) \\
&= \overline{\alpha}(eu^*xu_2^*)\overline{\alpha'}(y) \\
&\leq \|e\|\overline{\alpha}(u^*xu_2^*)\overline{\alpha'}(y) && \text{(by Lemma 3.4)} \\
&\leq \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y). && \text{(by properties of } \overline{\alpha})
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $f_\lambda \to I$ increasingly in the strong operator topology and $eh \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $f_\lambda eh \to eh$ in the strong operator topology. Since τ is normal, τ is continuous on the bounded subset of $\mathcal{M}$ in strong operator topology. Therefore, we have

$$\tau(eh) = |\tau(eh)| = \lim_\lambda |\tau(f_\lambda eh)| \leq \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\|xy\|_1 = \tau(|xy|) = \tau(h) \leq \overline{\alpha}(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y),$$

and $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$.

If $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $y \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then, from Proposition 3.10, $\alpha(x) = \overline{\alpha}(x)$. Hence, $\|xy\|_1 \leq \alpha(x)\overline{\alpha'}(y)$. ☐

3.3. Dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Now we are ready to describe the dual space of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, when α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating and continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.

THEOREM 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.2). Let $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be as defined in Definition 3.1.*

Then $(L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp = L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, i.e.,

- (i) *for every $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$, there is a $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\overline{\alpha'}(\xi) = \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*
- (ii) *for every $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, the mapping $\phi : L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all x in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, is in $(L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$. Moreover, $\|\phi\| = \overline{\alpha'}(\xi)$.*

PROOF. (i) Assume that $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$. From Proposition 3.7, there exists a $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\overline{\alpha'}(\xi) = \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(y) = \tau(y\xi)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus we need only to show that $\phi(x) = \tau(x\xi)$ for all $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Suppose $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\alpha(x_n - x) \to 0$. Note that $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$. Then $\phi(x_n - x) \to 0$. By the generalized Hölder's inequality (Theorem 3.11), we have

$$|\tau(x_n\xi) - \tau(x\xi)| = |\tau((x_n - x)\xi)| \le \alpha(x_n - x)\overline{\alpha'}(\xi) \to 0.$$

Thus $\tau(x\xi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(x_n\xi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(x_n) = \phi(x)$.

(ii) It follows directly from the definition $\overline{\alpha'}$ in Definition 3.1 and the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ that

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{|\phi(x)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \le 1\} = \sup\{|\tau(x\xi)| : x \in \mathcal{M}, \alpha(x) \le 1\} = \overline{\alpha'}(\xi) < \infty,$$

and thus $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$. □

4. Non-commutative Hardy spaces H^α

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Given a von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{M}$, a conditional expectation $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{D}$ is defined to be a positive linear map which preserves the identity and satisfies $\Phi(x_1 y x_2) = x_1\Phi(y)x_2$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}$. For a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with a faithful normal tracial state τ and a von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{D}$, it is a well-known fact that there exists a unique, faithful, normal, conditional expectation Φ from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\tau(\Phi(y)) = \tau(y)$, for all $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore it is known that such $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{D}$ can be extended to a contractive linear mapping $\Phi : L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau) \to L^1(\mathcal{D},\tau)$ satisfying $\tau(y) = \tau(\Phi(y))$ for all $y \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ (for example, see Proposition 3.9 in [**19**].)

4.1. Arveson's non-commutative Hardy spaces.

We now recall non-commutative analogue of classical Hardy space $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ by Arveson in [**1**] (also see [**10**]).

DEFINITION 4.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a weak* closed unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$, and let Φ be a faithful, normal conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto the diagonal von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to Φ if*

1. *$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$ is weak* dense in $\mathcal{M}$,*
2. *$\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$,*
3. *$\tau \circ \Phi = \tau$.*

Such a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is also called an H^∞ space of $\mathcal{M}$.

EXAMPLE 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{M} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries equipped with a trace τ. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices. Now $\mathcal{D}$ is the diagonal matrices and Φ is the natural projection onto the diagonal. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite maximal subdiagonal algebra of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Example 4.3. *Let* $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(X,\mu)$, *where* (X,μ) *is a probability space. Let* $\tau(f) = \int f d\mu$ *for all* f *in* $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be a weak* closed subalgebra of* $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ *such that* $I \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^*$ *is weak* dense in* $L^\infty(X,\mu)$, *and such that* $\int fgd\mu = (\int fd\mu)(\int gd\mu)$ *for all* $f,g \in \mathcal{A}$. *Let* $\Phi(f) = (\int fd\mu)I$ *for all* f *in* $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. *Then* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a finite, maximal subdiagonal algebra in* $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. *These examples are the weak* Dirichlet algebras of Srinivasan and Wang* [**31**].

4.2. Non-commutative H^α-spaces. Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. We let

$$H_0^\infty = \{x \in H^\infty : \Phi(x) = 0\}.$$

For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau), 0 < p < \infty$, let $[\mathcal{S}]_p$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$. Let

$$H^p = [H^\infty]_p \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_0^p = [H_0^\infty]_p.$$

For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ denote the weak*-closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{M}$.

The following characterization of non-commutative H^p space for $1 \le p \le \infty$ was proved by Saito in [**27**].

Proposition 4.4. (from [**27**]) *Let* $1 \le p \le \infty$.

(1) $H^1 \cap L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau) = H^p$ *and* $H_0^1 \cap L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau) = H_0^p$.
(2) $H^p = \{x \in L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0$ *for all* $y \in H_0^\infty\}$.
(3) $H_0^p = \{x \in L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0$ *for all* $y \in H^\infty\} = \{x \in H^p : \Phi(x) = 0\}$;

Similarly, we have the following definition in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ spaces.

Definition 4.5. *Suppose* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state* τ. *Let* H^∞ *be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of* $\mathcal{M}$. *Suppose* α *is a normalized, unitarily invariant, continuous,* $\|\cdot\|_1$*-dominating norm on* $\mathcal{M}$.

For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, *let* $[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha$ *denote the closure of* $\mathcal{S}$ *in* $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ *with respect to the norm* α. *In particular, We define* H^α *to be the* α*-closure of* H^∞, *i.e.,*

$$H^\alpha = [H^\infty]_\alpha.$$

4.3. Characterizations of H^α-spaces. In this section, our object is to provide an analogue of Saito's result stated in Proposition 4.4 in the new setting H^α, where α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.

It is proved in [**5**], surprisingly, that the multiplication of the conditional expectation Φ on H^∞ extends to a multiplication on H^p for all $0 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 4.6. (from [**5**]) *The conditional expectation* Φ *is multiplicative on Hardy spaces. More precisely,* $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$ *for all* $a \in H^p$ *and* $b \in H^q$ *with* $0 < p,q \le \infty$.

Next we will prove two lemmas before we state the main result of the section.

Lemma 4.7. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state* τ, *and* H^∞ *be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of* $\mathcal{M}$. *Let* α *be a normalized, unitarily invariant,* $\|\cdot\|_1$*-dominating, continuous norm on* $\mathcal{M}$ *(see Definition 2.2). Let* $L^{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ *be as defined in Definition 3.1.*

Then

$$H^\alpha = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \textit{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}.$$

Suppose $x \in H^\infty$. If $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq H_0^1$, then it follows from part (3) of Proposition 4.4 that $\tau(xy) = 0$, which implies $x \in X$, and so $H^\infty \subseteq X$.

We claim that X is α-closed in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. In fact, suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X and $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\alpha(x_n - x) \to 0$. If $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then by the generalized Hölder's inequality (Theorem 3.11), we have

$$|\tau(xy) - \tau(x_n y)| = |\tau((x - x_n)y)| \le \alpha(x - x_n)\overline{\alpha'}(y) \to 0.$$

Since $x_n \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\tau(xy) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(x_n y) = 0$ for all $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. By the definition of X, we know that $x \in X$. Hence X is closed in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Therefore

$$H^\alpha = [H^\infty]_\alpha \subseteq X.$$

Next, we show that $H^\alpha = X$. Assume, via contradiction, that $H^\alpha \subsetneqq X \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there is a $\phi \in (L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau))^\sharp$ and $x \in X$ such that

(i) $\phi(x) \neq 0$, and
(ii) $\phi(y) = 0$ for all $y \in H^\alpha$.

Since α is a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that there exists a $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that

(iii) $\phi(z) = \tau(z\xi)$ for all $z \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Hence from (ii) and (iii) we can conclude that

(iv) $\tau(y\xi) = \phi(y) = 0$ for every $y \in H^\infty \subseteq H^\alpha \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Since $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, it follows from part (3) of Proposition 4.4 and (iv) as above that $\xi \in H_0^1$, which means $\xi \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Combining with the fact that $x \in X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}$, we obtain that $\tau(x\xi) = 0$. Note, again, that $x \in X \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. From (i) and (iii), it follows that $\tau(x\xi) = \phi(x) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore

$$H^\alpha = X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}.$$

□

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.2). Let $L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be as defined in Definition 3.1. Then*

$$H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \textit{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$X = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}.$$

It is clear that $X \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Now we suppose $x \in X$, that is $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\tau(xy) = 0$ for all $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Since $H_0^\infty \subseteq H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $H_0^\infty \subseteq H_0^1$, it follows that $\tau(xy) = 0$ for all $y \in H_0^\infty$. Then by part (2) of Proposition 4.4, $x \in H^1$, which implies $X \subseteq H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

To prove $H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq X$, suppose $x \in H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Assume that $y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. So $\Phi(y) = 0$. Note that $xy \in H^1 H_0^1 \subseteq H^{1/2}$. From Lemma 4.6, we know that $\Phi(xy)$ is in $L^{1/2}(\mathcal{D},\tau)$ (see Theorem 2.1 in [**5**]) and $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y) = 0$. Moreover, since $x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $y \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, it induces from Theorem 3.11 that $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, whence $\Phi(xy)$ is also in $L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Thus $\tau(xy)$ is well defined and $\tau(xy) = \tau(\Phi(xy)) = 0$. By the definition of X, we conclude that $x \in X$. Therefore $H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq X$.

Now we can obtain that

$$H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in H_0^1 \cap L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)\}.$$

□

The following theorem gives a characterization of H^α.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. Then*

$$H^\alpha = H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) = \{x \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) : \tau(xy) = 0 \textit{ for all } y \in H_0^\infty\}.$$

Proof. The result follows directly from Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.4. □

5. Beurling's invariant subspace theorem

In this section, we extend the classical Beurling's theorem to Arveson's non-commutative Hardy spaces associated with unitary invariant norms.

5.1. A factorization result. In [**27**], Saito proved the following useful factorization theorem.

Lemma 5.1. (from [**27**]) *Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. If $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} \in L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then there are unitary operators $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and operators $a_1, a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = u_1 a_1 = a_2 u_2$ and $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1} \in H^2$.*

We shall show that in fact it is possible to choose a_1 and a_2 with their inverses in H^α.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. If $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then there are unitary operators $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and operators $a_1, a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = w_1 a_1 = a_2 w_2$ and $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1} \in H^\alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose $k \in \mathcal{M}$ with $k^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that $k = vh$ is the polar decomposition of k in $\mathcal{M}$, where v is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{M}$ and h in $\mathcal{M}$ is positive. Then from the assumption that $k^{-1} = h^{-1}v^* \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we see $h^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since h in $\mathcal{M}$ is positive, we can conclude that $h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Note that $h^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exist a unitary operator $u_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h_1 \in H^\infty$ such that $h^{\frac{1}{2}} = u_1 h_1$ and $h_1^{-1} \in H^2$.

Now $h = h^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot h^{\frac{1}{2}} = u_1(h_1 u_1)h_1$. Since $h_1 u_1$ is in $\mathcal{M}$ and $(h_1 u_1)^{-1} = u_1^* h_1^{-1} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by Lemma 5.1 there exist a unitary operator $u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $h_1 u_1 = u_2 h_2$ and $h_2^{-1} \in H^2$. Thus

$$k = vh = vu_1h_1u_1h_1 = vu_1u_2h_2h_1 = w_1a_1,$$

where $w_1 = vu_1u_2$ is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{M}$ and $a_1 = h_2h_1 \in H^\infty$ with

$$a_1^{-1} = (h_2h_1)^{-1} = h_1^{-1}h_2^{-1} \in H^2 \cdot H^2 \subseteq H^1.$$

Since $k^{-1} = (w_1a_1)^{-1} = a_1^{-1}w_1^* \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we obtain that $a_1^{-1} \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then by Theorem 4.9, we have

$$a_1^{-1} \in H^1 \cap L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}) = H^\alpha.$$

Hence w_1 is a unitary in $\mathcal{M}$ and a_1 is in H^∞ such that $k = w_1a_1$ and $a_1^{-1} \in H^\alpha$.

Similarly, there exist a unitary operator $w_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $k = a_2w_2$ and $a_2^{-1} \in H^\alpha$. ☐

5.2. Dense subspaces. The following theorem plays an important role in the proof of our main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.*

If $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a weak closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{N}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, then*

(1) $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$;
(2) $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ *is weak* closed in* $\mathcal{M}$;
(3) $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha$;
(4) *if $\mathcal{S}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{S}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then*

$$[\mathcal{S}]_\alpha = [\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_\alpha,$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ is the weak-closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. (1). It is clear that $\mathcal{N} \subseteq [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$. Assume, via contradiction, that $\mathcal{N} \subsetneqq [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$. Note that $\mathcal{N}$ is a weak* closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ and $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the predual space of $\mathcal{M}$. It follows from the Hahn-Banach Theorem that there exist a $\xi \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and an $x \in [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that

(a) $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$, but
(b) $\tau(\xi y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

We claim that there exists a $z \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

(a') $\tau(zx) \neq 0$, but
(b') $\tau(zy) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

Actually assume that $\xi = |\xi^*|v$ is the polar decomposition of ξ in $L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, where v is a unitary element in $\mathcal{M}$ and $|\xi^*|$ in $L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is positive. Let f be a function on $[0,\infty)$ defined by the formula $f(t) = 1$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $f(t) = 1/t$ for $t > 1$. We define $k = f(|\xi^*|)$ by the functional calculus. Then by the construction of f, we know that $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} = f^{-1}(|\xi^*|) \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. It follows from Theorem 5.2 that there exist a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in H^\infty$ such that $k = ua$ and $a^{-1} \in H^1$. Therefore, we can further assume that $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of elements in H^∞ such that $\|a^{-1} - a_n\|_1 \to 0$. Observe that

(i) since a, a_n are in H^∞, for each $y \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that $ya_na \in \mathcal{N}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and
$$\tau(a_na\xi y) = \tau(\xi y a_n a) = 0;$$
(ii) we have $a\xi = (u^*u)a(|\xi^*|v) = u^*(k|\xi^*|)v \in \mathcal{M}$, by the definition of k;
(iii) from (a) and (ii), we have
$$0 \neq \tau(\xi x) = \tau(a^{-1}a\xi x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(a_na\xi x).$$

Combining (i), (ii) and (iii), we are able to find an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z = a_Na\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

(a') $\tau(zx) \neq 0$, but
(b') $\tau(zy) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

Recall that $x \in [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\alpha(x - x_n) \to 0$. We have
$$|\tau(zx_n) - \tau(zx)| = |\tau(z(x - x_n))| \le \|x - x_n\|_1\|z\| \le \alpha(x - x_n)\|z\| \to 0.$$
Combining with (b') we conclude that $\tau(zx) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(zx_n) = 0$. This contradicts with the result (a'). Therefore $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{N}]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}$.

(2). Let $\overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*}$ be the weak*-closure of $\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. In order to show that $\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*}$, it suffices to show that $\overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Assume, to the contrary, that $\overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*} \nsubseteq \mathcal{W}$. Thus there exists an element x in $\overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, but $x \notin \mathcal{W}$. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem and Theorem 3.12, there exists a $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$ and $\tau(\xi y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{W}$. Since $\xi \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, the linear mapping $\tau_\xi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\tau_\xi(a) = \tau(\xi a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, is weak*-continuous. Note that $x \in \overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*}$ and $\tau(\xi y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{W}$. We know that $\tau(\xi x) = 0$, which contradicts with the assumption that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, whence $\overline{\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}}^{w*} = \mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}$.

(3). Since $\mathcal{W}$ is α-closed, it is easy to see $[\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}]_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Now we assume $[\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}]_\alpha \subsetneqq \mathcal{W} \subseteq L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem and Theorem 3.12 there exist an $x \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\xi \in L_{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$ and $\tau(\xi y) = 0$ for all $y \in [\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}]_\alpha$. Let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, where v is a unitary element in $\mathcal{M}$. Let f be a function on $[0,\infty)$ defined by the formula $f(t) = 1$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $f(t) = 1/t$ for $t > 1$. We define $k = f(|x|)$ through the functional calculus. Then we see $k \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k^{-1} = f^{-1}(|x|) \in L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. It follows from Theorem 5.2 that there exist a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in H^\infty$ such that $k = au$

and $a^{-1} \in H^{\alpha}$. A little computation shows that $|x|k \in \mathcal{M}$, which implies that

$$xa = xauu^* = xku^* = v(|x|k)u^* \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Since $a \in H^{\infty}$, we know $xa \in \mathcal{W}H^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, and thus $xa \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore, note that $(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M})H^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$. Thus, if $b \in H^{\infty}$, we see $xab \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$, and so $\tau(\xi xab) = 0$. Since H^{∞} is dense in H^{α} and ξ is in $L^{\overline{\alpha'}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows from Theorem 3.11 that $\tau(\xi xab) = 0$ for all $b \in H^{\alpha}$. Since $a^{-1} \in H^{\alpha}$, we see $\tau(\xi x) = \tau(\xi xaa^{-1}) = 0$. This contradicts with the assumption that $\tau(\xi x) \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_{\alpha}$.

(4) Assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{S}H^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}$ is the weak*-closure of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then $[\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha}H^{\infty} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha}$. Note that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{M}$. From (2), we know that $[\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{M}$ is weak*-closed. Therefore $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{M}$. Hence $[\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_{\alpha} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha}$, whence $[\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{w*}]_{\alpha} = [\mathcal{S}]_{\alpha}$

□

5.3. Main result. Before we state our main result in this section, we will need the following definition from [**18**].

Definition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state τ. Let X be a weak* closed subspace of $\mathcal{M}$. Then X is called an internal column sum of a family of weak* closed subspaces $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$, denoted by*

$$X = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}^{col} X_i$$

if

1. *$X_j^* X_i = \{0\}$ for all distinct $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$; and*
2. *the linear span of $\{X_i \ : \ i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is weak* dense in X, i.e. $X = \overline{span\{X_i \ : \ i \in \mathcal{I}\}}^{w*}$.*

Similarly, we introduce a concept of internal column sum of subspaces in $L^{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ as follows.

Definition 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state τ and α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating and continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. Let X be a closed subspace of $L^{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then X is called an internal column sum of a family of closed subspaces $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ of $L^{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, denoted by*

$$X = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}^{col} X_i$$

if

1. *$X_j^* X_i = \{0\}$ for all distinct $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$; and*
2. *the linear span of $\{X_i \ : \ i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is dense in X, i.e. $X = [span\{X_i \ : \ i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_{\alpha}$.*

In [**4**], David P. Blecher and Louis E. Labuschagne proved a version of Beurling's theorem for $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 5.6. (from [**4**]) *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, tracial, normal state τ, and H^∞ be a maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}$ is a closed H^∞-right-invariant subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, for some $1 \le p \le \infty$. (For $p = \infty$ we assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is weak* closed.) Then $\mathcal{K}$ may be written as a column L^p-sum $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Z} \bigoplus^{col}(\bigoplus_i^{col} u_i H^p)$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed (indeed weak* closed if $p = \infty$) subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_p$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}$ with $u_j^* u_i = 0$ if $i \ne j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i, $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{K}$ onto the summands $u_i H^p$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}$.*

Now we are ready to prove the main result of the paper, a generalized version of the classical theorem of Beurling [**2**] in a non-commutative $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ space for a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm α.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ. Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{D} = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.*

If $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \overset{col}{\bigoplus} (\overset{col}{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}} u_i H^\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ with $u_j^* u_i = 0$ if $i \ne j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i, $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W}$ onto the summands $u_i H^\alpha$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}$.

Proof. The only if part is obvious. Suppose $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Then it follows from part (2) of Theorem 5.3 that $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ is weak* closed in $\mathcal{M}$. It follows from Lemma 5.6, in the case $p = \infty$, that

$$\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \overset{col}{\bigoplus} (\overset{col}{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}} u_i H^\infty),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is a weak* closed subspace in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_1 H_0^\infty}^{w*}$, and u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ with $u_j^* u_i = 0$ if $i \ne j$, and with $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i, $u_i^* \mathcal{Z}_1 = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_i u_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ onto the summands $u_i H^\infty$, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_i u_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}_1$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}_1]_\alpha$. It is not hard to verify that for each i, $u_i^* \mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$. We also claim that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha = u_i H^\alpha$. In fact it is obvious that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha \supseteq u_i H^\alpha$. We will need only to show that $[u_i H^\infty]_\alpha \subseteq u_i H^\alpha$. Let $\{a_n\} \subseteq H^\infty$ and $a \in [u_i H^\infty]_\alpha$ be such that $\alpha(u_i a_n - a) \to 0$. By the choice of u_i, we know that $u_i^* u_i \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq H^\infty$, whence $u_i^* u_i a_n \in H^\infty$ for each $n \ge 1$. Combining with the fact that $\alpha(u_i^* u_i a_n - u_i^* a) \le \alpha(u_i a_n - a) \to 0$, we obtain that $u_i^* a \in H^\alpha$. Again from the choice of u_i, we know that $u_iu_i^*u_ia_n = u_ia_n$ for each $n \geq 1$. This implies that $a = u_i(u_i^*a) \in u_iH^\alpha$. Thus we conclude that $[u_iH^\infty]_\alpha \subseteq u_iH^\alpha$, whence $[u_iH^\infty]_\alpha = u_iH^\alpha$.

Now from parts (3) and (4) of Theorem 5.3 and from the definition of internal column sum, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha &= \left[\overline{span\{\mathcal{Z}_1, u_iH^\infty : i \in \mathcal{I}\}}^{w*}\right]_\alpha = [span\{\mathcal{Z}_1, u_iH^\infty : i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_\alpha \\ &= [span\{\mathcal{Z}, u_iH^\alpha : i \in \mathcal{I}\}]_\alpha = \mathcal{Z} \overset{col}{\bigoplus} (\overset{col}{\bigoplus_i} u_iH^\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will verify that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$. Recall that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}_1]_\alpha$. It follows from part (1) of Theorem 5.3 we have that

$$[\mathcal{Z}_1H_0^\infty]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M} = \overline{\mathcal{Z}_1H_0^\infty}^{w*} = \mathcal{Z}_1.$$

Hence from part (3) of Theorem 5.3 we have that

$$\mathcal{Z} \supseteq [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha \supseteq [\mathcal{Z}_1H_0^\infty]_\alpha = [[\mathcal{Z}_1H_0^\infty]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{M}]_\alpha = [\mathcal{Z}_1]_\alpha = \mathcal{Z}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$.

Moreover, it is not hard to verify that for each i, left multiplication by the $u_iu_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W}$ onto the summands u_iH^α, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_iu_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}$.

Now the proof is completed. □

A quick application of Theorem 5.7 is the following corollary on doubly invariant subspaces in $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

COROLLARY 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, then there exists a projection e in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{W} = eL^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

PROOF. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ itself is a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $H^\infty = \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}$ and Φ is the identity map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $H_0^\infty = \{0\}$ and $H^\alpha = L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Assume that $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. From Theorem 5.7,

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z} \overset{col}{\bigoplus} (\overset{col}{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}}} u_iH^\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{W}$ with $u_j^*u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^*u_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for each i, $u_i^*\mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_iu_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W}$ onto the summands u_iH^α, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_iu_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}$.

From the fact that $H_0^\infty = \{0\}$, we know that $\mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$. Since $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}$, we know that

$$u_iH^\alpha = u_iL^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \supseteq u_iu_i^*L^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \supseteq u_iu_i^*u_iL^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = u_iL^\alpha(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = u_iH^\alpha.$$

So $u_iH^\alpha = u_iu_i^*L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z}\overset{col}{\bigoplus}(\overset{col}{\bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}} u_iH^\alpha) = \overset{col}{\bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}} u_iu_i^*L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) = (\sum_i u_iu_i^*)L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau) = eL^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau),$$

where $e = \sum_i u_iu_i^*$ is a projection in $\mathcal{M}$. $\square$

Next result is another application of Theorem 5.7 on simply invariant subspaces in weak* Dirichlet algebras.

COROLLARY 5.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, tracial state τ. Let H^∞ be a finite, maximal subdiagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^* = \mathbb{C}I$. Let α be a normalized, unitarily invariant, $\|\cdot\|_1$-dominating, continuous norm on $\mathcal{M}$.*

Assume that $\mathcal{W}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then

1. *if $\mathcal{W}$ is simply H^∞-right invariant, i.e. $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subsetneqq \mathcal{W}$, then $\mathcal{W} = uH^\alpha$ for some unitary $u \in \mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}$.*
2. *if $\mathcal{W}$ is simply H^∞-right invariant in H^α, i.e. $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subsetneqq \mathcal{W}$, then $\mathcal{W} = uH^\alpha$ with u an inner element (i.e., u is unitary and $u \in H^\infty$).*

PROOF. It is not hard to see that part (2) follows directly from part (1). We will need only to prove (1). From Theorem 5.7,

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{Z}\overset{col}{\bigoplus}(\overset{col}{\bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}} u_iH^\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed subspace of $L^\alpha(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = [\mathcal{Z}H_0^\infty]_\alpha$, and where u_i are partial isometries in $\mathcal{M}\cap\mathcal{W}$ with $u_j^*u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and with $u_i^*u_i \in H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$. Moreover, for each i, $u_i^*\mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$, left multiplication by the $u_iu_i^*$ are contractive projections from $\mathcal{W}$ onto the summands u_iH^α, and left multiplication by $I - \sum_i u_iu_i^*$ is a contractive projection from $\mathcal{W}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}$.

Since $\mathcal{W}H^\infty \subsetneqq \mathcal{W}$, $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{I}}^{col} u_iH^\alpha \neq \{0\}$. Therefore there exists some $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $u_i \neq 0$. Then $u_i^*u_i$ is a nonzero projection in $H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^* = \mathbb{C}I$, or $u_i^*u_i = I$. This implies that u_i is a unitary element in $\mathcal{W}\cap\mathcal{M}$. From the choice of $\{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, we further conclude that $\mathcal{W} = u_iH^\alpha$. $\square$

References

[1] W. B. Arveson, *Analyticity in operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967) 578–642.

[2] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math. 81 (1949) 239-255.

[3] S. Bochner, *Generalized conjugate and analytic functions without expansions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 45 (1959) 855-857.

[4] D. Blecher and L. E. Labuschagne, *A Beurling's theorem for non-commutative L^p*, J. Operator Theory, 59 (2008) 29-51.

[5] T. N. Bekjan and Q. Xu, *Riesz and Szegö type factorizations for non-commutative Hardy spaces*, J. Operator Theory, 62 (2009) 215-231.

[6] J. Dixmier, *Formes linaires sur un anneau d'oprateurs* (French), Bull. Soc. Math. France, 81 (1953) 9-39.

[7] P. Dodds, T. Dodds and B. Pagter, *Non-commutative Banach function spaces*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 201 (1989) 583-597.

[8] P. Dodds and T. Dodds, *Some properties of symmetric operator spaces*, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. 29 (1992) 14-41.

[9] P. Dodds, T. Dodds and B. Pagter, *Non-commutative Kothe duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1993) 717-750.

[10] R. Exel, *Maximal subdiagonal algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988) 775-782.

[11] T. Fack and H. Kosaki, *Generalized s-numbers of -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. 2 (1986) 269-300.

[12] J. Fang, D. Hadwin, E. Nordgren and J. Shen, *Tracial gauge norms on finite von Neumann algebras satisfying the weak Dixmier property*, J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008) 142-183.

[13] P. Halmos, *Shifts on Hilbert spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 208 (1961) 102-112.

[14] H. Helson, *Lectures on Invariant Subspaces*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.

[15] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables*, Acta Math. 99 (1958) 165-202.

[16] K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*, Acta Math. 108 (1962) 271-317.

[17] R. A. Kunze, *L^p-Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1958) 519-540.

[18] M. Junge and D. Sherman, *Non-commutative L^p-modules*, J. Operator Theory 53 (2005) 3-34.

[19] M. Marshall and G. West, *Non-commutative H^p spaces* , J. Operator Theory 40 (1998) 339-355.

[20] M. McAsey, P. S. Muhly and K. S. Saito, *Non-self-adjoint crossed products (invariant subspaces and maximality)*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 248 (1979) 381-410.

[21] C. A. McCarthy, C_p, Israel J. Math. 5 (1967) 249-271.

[22] E. Nelson, *Notes on non-commutative integration*, J. Funct. Anal. 15 (1974) 103-116.

[23] J. von Neumann, *Some matrix-inequalities and metrization of matric-space*, Tomsk Univ. Rev. 1 (1937) 286-300.

[24] T. Nakazi, *Invariant subspaces of weak*-Dirichlet algebras,* Pacific J. Math. 69 (1977) 151-167.

[25] T. Nakazi and Y. Watatani, *Invariant subspace theorems for subdiagonal algebras*, J. Operator Theory, 37 (1997) 379C395.

[26] G. Pisier and Q. Xu, *Non-commutative L^p-spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2 (2003) 1459-1517.

[27] K. S. Saito, *A note on invariant subspaces for finite maximal subdiagonal algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979) 348-352.

[28] I. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. Math. 57 (1952) 401-457.

[29] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979.

[30] T. P. Srinivasan, *Simply invariant subspaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963) 706-709.

[31] T. Srinivasan and J. K. Wang, *Weak*-Dirichlet algebras*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Function Algebras, Tulane University, 1965 (Chicago), Scott-Foresman, 1966, 216–249.

[32] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer, 1979.

[33] F. Yeadon, *Non-commutative L^p-spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 77 (1975) 91-102.

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `yanni.chen@unh.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `don@unh.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `junhao.shen@unh.edu`